

Secularism in Education

© Dr Arthur Jones

Contents

1. Introduction: “You must keep your religion out of your professional work”	1
2. An Indefensible Religious / Non-Religious Divide	2
3. Today the Real Indoctrination Threat is from Secularism	3
4. Secularism Harms Everyone	5
5. References	6

1. Introduction “You must keep your religion out of your professional work”

1.1. Today’s secularist environment is most evident in the realm of professional training. People are trained to work in the professions *as if* there is no God. How this works is that throughout their training they will receive the explicit or implicit message, that, in order to be professional and objective, they must not bring their religion, or personal religious values into their public, professional work.

1.2. The inculcated mindset is revealed in some research into the training of school teachers. Education researchers, Lynn Revell and Rosemary Walters, investigated the attitudes of Christian and atheist students training to teach RE in secondary schools in England (Revell & Walters 2010).

1.3. Professor Trevor Cooling summarised the research as follows:

In the case of those who identified themselves as Christians, a consensus emerged that they had to be careful not to let their own private beliefs influence the pupils; that was not considered objective. Essentially, they saw their beliefs as a problem to be managed. However in the case of those that were atheist or agnostic there was a contrasting consensus; these students thought that their beliefs enhanced their professionalism. In other words, their atheist beliefs contributed to their being objective RE teachers because they didn’t have a *religious* belief. In their case they viewed their atheistic beliefs as an asset to be tapped. This was only a small scale project and as such one cannot generalize from it. Further research is necessary. But it does indicate the existence of a mindset within contemporary education which is influencing students.
(Cooling 2011:4; also Cooling 2010:18)

1.4. The researchers themselves concluded:

“A secular, atheist or agnostic belief position in the classroom should be

recognised by all students as an identifiable belief position rather than as a neutral stance.” (Revell & Walters 2010: 4)

“Agnostic, atheist and radical/liberal faith positions have also to be acknowledged as potential hindrances to the educational process.” (*ibid*: 9)

1.5. Clearly we have another illustration of how secularist assumptions have been uncritically absorbed by a majority of people. But let us examine the situation in the teaching profession in more detail.

2. An Indefensible Religious/Non-Religious Divide

2.1. We have seen that the assumption that religious believers should not bring their religion, or personal religious values into their public, professional work has come to be seen as so obvious, so reasonable, that it is virtually unquestioned. In fact it is very problematic. Indeed it is arguable that in a pluralist society it is actually wrongly discriminatory and very hard to justify.

[The following discussion is adapted from the Norwegian Christian educationalist, Signe Sandsmark, (2000: 87). See also Clouser 2005, Cooling 2010, and Copley 2005]

2.2. Religious believers training to be school or college teachers will, explicitly or implicitly, receive something like the following message:

In order to be professional and neutral in your practice, you must not talk about God in a way that implies that God actually exists. You must not pray with students. You must not talk as if moral norms and commandments are objective or universal. You must not base your curriculum on the assumption that human beings are created for a purpose. You must not teach science as if the world is intelligently designed.

2.3. The problem with this is exposed if we ask a complementary question: “What, then, should secularists, agnostics and atheists try to do, and avoid doing, if they also are to be ‘neutral’, ‘objective’ and ‘professional’ and not impose their secularism, atheism, or agnosticism on their students?”

2.4. The empirical answer is ‘Nothing’. In other words, the question reveals that our educational practice is already effectively atheistic. By this we mean that state education today operates across the curriculum:

- *as if* there is no God,
- *as if* faith is irrelevant to everyday life,
- *as if* a godless and faithless life is, or ought to be, normal and natural for everyone.

It should be very obvious that this is hardly neutral! Non-religious pupils and teachers will feel perfectly at home in the secular classroom, whereas religious believers will not.

2.5. Graham Haydon (senior lecturer in philosophy of education at London University's *Institute of Education*) put it succinctly:

"... it is simply 'not done' to bring one's religion, or views based on it, into any public sphere. But a society in which this kind of secularism reigns, even though not enforced by law, is surely not one in which it can be said that all persons are equally respected; it means, effectively, that some people are being silenced on matters of central importance to them." (Haydon 1994:70)

2.6. In effect Haydon is questioning whether we are really serious about celebrating a pluralist society. If, in order to be professional, religious teachers are to teach in a way that hides their real beliefs – to teach *as if their religious faith is not true, or, at least, not relevant to their public life*, to teach, in other words, *as if they are secularists, atheists or agnostics* – then shouldn't secularist, agnostic and atheist teachers do likewise? In order not to impose their secularism, agnosticism or atheism on pupils, maybe they should teach as if they were religious believers – as if they were, e.g., Buddhists, Christians, Jews or Muslims!!

3. Today the Real Indoctrination Threat is from Secularism

3.1. What this clearly illustrates is that it is not possible for education to be neutral. Secularism has created an environment in which it is religious believers who are most at risk of facing disrespect and the rubbishing of their beliefs. Listen to education researchers William Kay and Leslie Francis:

"Today much of the world of adulthood is characterized by the secular rather than by the religious ... The socialization process is persistently and inevitably drawing young people into the ethos of that post-Christian world. In this sense, to be irreligious is to be normal." (Kay & Francis 1996: 144)

3.2. The risk of indoctrination in schools and society today is not from religion, but from secularism (Carey & Carey 2012, Copley 2005; c.f. Cooling 2010, Fraser 1999, Greenawalt 2005, Newbigin 1998, Nord 1995, Norman 2002, Sandsmark 2000,

Sommerville 2006, Trigg 2012). In today's environment it is hardly surprising that almost 100% of unbelieving parents successfully pass on their unbelief to their children, but barely 50% of religious parents succeed in passing on their religious faith (Crockett & Voas 2006, NatCen Social Research 2011, Voas 2005, Voas & Crockett 2005). Research also shows that many children give up on their faith in their teenage years, or as they enter adult life (e.g. Barna Group 2006, Kinnaman, 2011). The loss during college and university years (50-80%) is especially shocking, but almost certainly roots back to school years (e.g. Ham & Beemer 2009).

3.3. Lesslie Newbigin put it bluntly:

“Even in homes where the parents are committed Christians, it is hard, to the point of impossibility, for children to sustain belief in the meta-narrative of the Bible over against that understanding of the meta-narrative – the picture of the origins and development of nature, of human society as a whole – which is being offered to them at school. It is possible to maintain the telling of the biblical story in the privacy of home and church, but in so far as this story contradicts the meta-narrative of the schools, young people are placed in an impossible situation. ... A belief which is permitted only to exist in a bunker may survive for a time, but it must finally be obliterated.” (Newbigin 1998: 158-159)

3.4. Terence Copley was Professor of Educational Studies (Religious Education) at the University of Oxford when he died from cancer in January 2011. Previously, he was Professor of Education at the University of Exeter from 1997-2007. He was the author of the ground-breaking book *Indoctrination, Education and God* (Copley, 2005). From the title, a Western reader expects a discussion of religious education, but, no, this is a discussion of the real indoctrination threat today – from secularism:

“... a secular indoctrination process is at work in British and European society, programming people against religious belief ... People in Britain are frequently negative, even hostile, towards institutional Christianity, while at the same time being less critical of ‘other religions’ (except perhaps Islam), even more uncritical towards alternative spiritualities and, finally, completely uncritical of secular values.” (Copley 2005:vii)

3.5. Nor is this something that has been recognised only recently. Long ago, Sir Walter Moberly (1881-1974, Philosopher, Vice-Chancellor of Manchester University, Chairman of the University Grants Committee), put it even more trenchantly:

“If in your organization, your curriculum, and your communal customs and ways of life, you leave God out, you teach with tremendous force that, for most people and at most times, He does not count ... It is a fallacy to suppose that by omitting a subject you teach nothing about it. On the contrary you teach that it is to be omitted, and that it is therefore a matter of secondary importance. And you teach this not openly and explicitly, which would invite criticism; you simply take it for granted and thereby insinuate it silently, insidiously, and all but

irresistibly. If indoctrination is bad, this sort of conditioning and preconscious habituation is surely worse ... if a planner of atheistic conviction and Machiavellian astuteness had been at work, he could hardly have wrought more cunningly." (Moberly 1949: 56)

"Our predicament then is this. Most students go through our universities without ever having been forced to exercise their minds on the issues which are really momentous. Under the guise of academic neutrality they are subtly conditioned to unthinking acquiescence in the social and political *status quo* and in a secularism on which they have never seriously reflected ... Fundamentally they are uneducated." (*ibid*: 70)

4. Secularism Harms Everyone

The threat from Secularism is not just to Christians, but to all religious believers, indeed to everyone

4.1. Secularism is fundamentally a commitment to a belief in *naturalism* (*aka materialism*). Certainly, some have attempted to develop a non-naturalist alternative to theism, but these proposals have been unconvincing, and have gained little support. Very few people become naturalists by intention; rather naturalism is usually absorbed unawares and unthinkingly, from the culture.

4.2. Naturalism entails the belief that there is no immaterial (or spiritual) realm beyond physical detection, *i.e.* that there is no spirit, soul, angels or God/gods. It is the belief that there is no intelligence, design, or purpose behind, or at work in the universe. Hence it is the belief that all real knowledge comes from empirical science, with the aid only of mathematics and logic.

4.3. The seemingly unavoidable conclusion from the acceptance of naturalism is that humans are just very complicated chemical machines, controlled entirely by material causes – by our genes, hormones, *etc.* – and thus without free will, and that, therefore, our human reason and science can have no necessary, or knowable connection with truth/reality. But no-one can live, intentionally and consistently, on the basis of such beliefs. Every human being lives within a framework of non-naturalist knowledge, a framework of meaning and purpose (for further discussion of naturalism, see Jones 2019, sections **3.3.** – **3.6.**, pages 7-9).

4.4. So when talking to other believers (whether Hindu, Muslim, or whatever), and to those claiming to be secular, or non-religious (including those claiming to be (indefinably) 'spiritual'), we should simply point out that secular materialism – which shapes most of the educational and media options available to most people – undermines *their* positions as well. *Everyone* should reject secular materialism, specifically in education, but generally in every realm of human life.

4. REFERENCES

- Barna Group (2006) "Most Twentysomethings Put Christianity on the Shelf Following Spiritually Active Teen Years." *The Barna Group*, <https://www.barna.com/research/most-twentysomethings-put-christianity-on-the-shelf-following-spiritually-active-teen-years/>, posted 11 September 2006, accessed 04 October 2019
- Carey, George & Carey, Andrew (2012) *We Don't Do God: The Marginalisation of Public Faith*. Oxford: Monarch Books (Lion Hudson).
- Clouser, Roy (2005) *The Myth of Religious Neutrality*, 2nd ed. Notre Dame, Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Cooling, Trevor, 2010, *Doing God in Education*, London: Theos, <http://www.theosthinktank.co.uk/publications/2010/12/02/doing-god-in-education>, accessed 04 October 2019
- Cooling, Trevor (2011) *Is God Redundant in the Classroom?* (Inaugural Lecture, 09 June 2011). Canterbury, Kent: Canterbury Christ Church University, <https://blogs.canterbury.ac.uk/nicer/is-god-redundant-in-the-classroom/>, also YouTube, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZCedF10YJfk>, posted 20 December 2012, accessed 04 October 2019
- Copley, Terence (2005) *Indoctrination, Education and God: The Struggle for the Mind*. London: SPCK.
- Crockett, Alasdair & Voas, David (2006) "'Generations of Decline: Religious Change in 20th-Century Britain.'" *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, **45** (4), December 2006, pages 567-584, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-5906.2006.00328.x/abstract>, posted 22 November 2006, accessed 04 October 2019
- Fraser, James W. (1999) *Between Church and State: Religion and Public Education in a Multicultural America*. New York: St Martin's Griffin
- Greenawalt, Kent (2005) *Does God Belong in Public Schools?* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press
- Ham, Ken and Beemer, Britt (2009) *Already Gone: Why Your Kids Will Quit Church and What You Can Do to Stop It*. Green Forest, AK: Master Books.
- Haydon, Graham (1994) "Conceptions of the Secular in Society, Polity and Schools". *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, **28** (1), 65-75.
- Jones, Arthur J. (2019) "BIOLOGY – A Critique of Naturalist Science", available as a pdf at <http://www.allofliferedeemed.co.uk/jones.htm>.
- Kay, William & Francis, Leslie (1996) *Drift from the Churches: Attitude toward Christianity during Childhood and Adolescence*. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
- Kinnaman, David (2011) *You Lost Me: Why Young Christians Are Leaving Church ... And Rethinking Faith*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books.
- Moberly, Walter (1949) *The Crisis in the University*. London: SCM
- Newbigin, Lesslie (1998) *A Light to the Nations: Theology in Politics*. In Newbigin, Sanneh & Taylor, 1998, Part Four, pages 133-165.
- Newbigin, Lesslie, Sanneh, Lamin, & Taylor, Jenny (1998) *Faith and Power: Christianity and Islam in "Secular" Britain*, London: SPCK.
- Nord, Warren A. (1995) *Religion and American Education: Rethinking a National Dilemma*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press
- Norman, Edward (2002) *Secularisation: Sacred Values in a Secular World*. London: Continuum.

Revell, Lynn & Walters, Rosemary (2010) *Christian Student RE Teachers, Objectivity and Professionalism*, Canterbury Christ Church University, Faculty of Education: CLIENT

Sandsmark, Signe, (2000), *Is World View Neutral Education Possible and Desirable?: A Christian Response to Liberal arguments*, Carlisle: Paternoster.

Sommerville, C. John (2006) *The Decline of the Secular University*, New York: OUP

Trigg, Roger (2012) *Equality, Freedom and Religion*. Oxford: OUP.

Voas, David (2005) "Religious Decline in the UK: Blame Parents Not the Churches" *The Edge*, Issue **19**, June 2005, page 20

Voas, David, and Crockett, Alasdair, "Religion in Britain: Neither Believing nor Belonging", *Sociology*, **39** (1), February 2005, pages 11-28.

© Dr Arthur Jones, October 2019

This is a revised extract from the author's earlier paper "Introduction to Christian Education, Part 2, Culture of Death? Secularism and its Consequences for Family and Society", first distributed in October 2011 and freely available at

<https://www.allofliferedeemed.co.uk/jones.htm>

Dr Arthur Jones

arthurjones43@gmail.com

Web: <https://www.allofliferedeemed.co.uk/jones.htm>
